



139829
ORIGINAL
(Red)

COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Richard N. Burton
Executive Director

Post Office Box 11143
Richmond, Virginia 23230-1143
(804) 257-0056

STATE WATER CONTROL BOARD

Valley Regional Office
116 North Main Street
P.O. Box 268
Bridgewater, Virginia 22812
(703) 828-2595

BOARD MEMBERS
Patrick L. Standing
Chairman
David H. Miller
Vice-Chairman

Millard B. Rice, Jr.
Watkins M. Abbitt, Jr.
Joseph S. Cragwall, Jr.
Robert C. Winingar
Henry O. Hollimon

27 September 1984

Mr. Don Neal
Environmental Measurements
GCA/Technology Division
213 Burlington Road
Bedford, Massachusetts 01730

Re: U.S. Titanium Site, Piney River, VA

Dear Mr. Neal:

As promised, you will find attached a copy of the report of a qualitative biological survey of the Piney River in the vicinity of the referenced site performed on 23 August 1984 by the State Water Control Board. I trust that this information will be helpful to you. If I may be of any further assistance, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Tedd H. Jett, P.E.
Pollution Control Engineer

/jff

cc: OWRM, Richmond
Jeannie Grandstaff, OE, Richmond
John Butcher, A.G.'s Office
VRO File #20-1147
Walter F. Lee, Ph.D. (3HW12)
EPA, Region III

Attachment

101651

Lee

MEMORANDUM

State Water Control Board

ORIGINAL
(Rec'd)

2111 North Hamilton Street

P. O. Box 11143

Richmond, VA. 23230

SUBJECT: U. S. Titanium/Piney River Cursory Benthic Survey

TO: R. F. Tesh/ VRO File

FROM: R. W. Bolgiano *RWB*

DATE: 25 September 1984

COPIES: OERS (M. Shelor), DAT, J. Grandstaff, A. L. Willett

Piney River, Nelson County
Upper James River Basin

Abstract

A cursory benthic survey of two stations on the Piney River near the United States Titanium Corporation (UST) plant site has documented adverse effects on State waters. The qualitative/semiquantitative survey procedure led to a good water quality rating at the upstream control and a fair to poor water quality rating at the station downstream of inputs from the UST site.

Introduction

United States Titanium Corporation (UST) is the current owner of the former Virginia Chemical Corporation and American Cyanamid Corporation titanium dioxide manufacturing plant at Piney River in Nelson County. Between 1931 and 1971 ilmenite ore ($\text{FeO}(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3) \cdot \text{TiO}_2$) was mined adjacently to the plant site and manufactured to titanium dioxide using the sulphate process. The complete lack of waste treatment during the early years of production saw the plant discharging up to 110 tons of sulfuric acid and waste salts per day into the Piney River. The sulphate process incorporated several steps which produced waste sludges of various mineral makeup, approximately one hundred thousand tons of which were "stockpiled" in the copperas pile. Obviously, acidic discharge from the copperas pile was unnoticed during plant operation. After shutdown in June 1971, intermittent discharge from the pile has been sufficient by itself to cause numerous fish kills and other adverse effects on the Piney River. During the fall of 1980, the majority of the waste copperas was buried and an attempt at reclamation of the storage area was made. Continued fish kills resulted in further reclamation efforts. Work was completed in July 1982.

Site reclamation has apparently halted gross surface runoff from the copperas pile to the river but other unreclaimed site areas exist and monitoring well results suggest that contamination of the ground water has occurred. Undoubtedly some of this contaminated water is reaching the Piney River. Most of the approximately one mile reach of the river between Rt. 151 and the power line crossing near the downstream station established for this survey is flanked on the north by the manufacturing site and the south by the mining site and its tailing ponds.

The Piney River is designated as natural trout waters from the old American

101652

Cyanamid Corporation raw water intake upstream to and including the stream's headwaters.

Methods and Materials

This survey involved the qualitative examination and identification to Family of benthic macroinvertebrate organisms at two stations. Relative abundance of organisms per Family was noted allowing for an assessment of the density of organisms at each station. Thus, a diversity/density rating number is generated for pollution tolerance categories (sensitive, facultative, and tolerant) which may be compared to standard rating tables (Table 1). D-frame nets and hand picking of substrate materials were used. Every effort was made to match the stations according to stream hydraulics and substrate materials. A YSI Model 57 dissolved oxygen meter with temperature probe and an Orion Model 211 pH meter were used. No chemical analyses of water samples were performed.

Results and Discussion

The Piney River at Piney River in Nelson County drains approximately 50 square miles of the eastern slope of the Blue Ridge Mountains and has an average discharge of approximately 93 cubic feet per second.

Station 1, the control, was established at a bedrock and boulder riffle and run area approximately 100 meters upstream of the Rt. 151 bridge (see Figure 1 for locations). The benthic community at this station is characterized by high ratings in the sensitive and facultative groups and low ratings in the tolerant group (Table 2 contains the diversity and density ratings for both the control and the downstream stations). Water quality was judged to be good based on comparison of rating numbers with the standard rating tables.

Station 2, the downstream station was established at approximately 100 meters downstream of the power line crossing at an appropriate riffle and run area. Observations of ferric colored and gypsum-like sediments emanating from the northern bank of the river aided in choosing a station location below the mixing zone of site runoff and river water (Figure 1). Differences in right and left bank benthic communities at informal intermediate stations were also observed. The benthic community at this station is characterized by low-moderate ratings in the sensitive group, moderate ratings in the facultative group, and low (zero) ratings in the tolerant group. Water quality was judged to be fair to poor. The suppression of all three groups in contrast with a possible situation wherein more tolerant groups "take up the slack" suggests toxicity input rather than nutrient loading.

Summary

The water quality of the Piney River, as indicated by analyses of the diversity and density of its benthic community, is degraded as the stream flows past the UST plant site and ilmenite mines. Observations of sedimentation and left bank-right bank differences in benthic community suggest that the plant site (north) bank is the origin of runoff affecting the stream. Suppression of all pollution tolerance categories suggest toxicity rather than nutrient loading. The benthic community in the Piney River at the control station upstream of the U. S. Titanium site is characterized by high diversity and density ratings

U. S. Titanium/Piney River Cursory Benthic Survey

25 September 1984

Page 3

ORIGINAL

(Red)

for pollution sensitive and facultative organisms and low ratings for pollution tolerant organisms. These numbers indicate good water quality. The benthic community in the river downstream of the U. S. Titanium site has been suppressed to low-moderate diversity and density ratings for sensitive organisms and moderate ratings for facultative organisms. No pollution tolerant organisms were found at the downstream station. These numbers indicate fair to poor water quality.

jes

101654

Table 1 - Standard Rating Tables
Diversity Rating Table for Indicator
Organism Categories*

<u>Diversity Rating</u>	<u>Number of Families</u>		
	Sensitive	Facultative	Tolerant
High	7.0+	7.0+	3.5+
Moderate	2.5-6.5+	3.5-6.5	1.5-3.0
Low	0-2.0	0-3.0	0-1

Density Rating Table for Indicator
Organism Categories**

<u>Density Rating</u>	<u>Density Score</u>		
	Sensitive	Facultative	Tolerant
High	18+	18+	11+
Moderate	6-17	6-17	6-10
Low	0-5	0-5	0-5

*A single organism in a Family counts 0.5, more than one organism per Family counts 1.0.

**A single organism in a Family counts one, a few count two, common organisms count six, abundant count eight, and dominant count ten. Tables and survey procedures taken from Shelor, M. H., and Ayers, R. W., May 1984. VSWCB Procedure for Conducting Qualitative Biological Surveys (Draft) personal correspondence.

101655

Table 2

		<u>Diversity</u> <u>Density</u>		
		Sensitive	Facultative	Tolerant
Station 1	<u>Diversity</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10.5</u>	<u>1</u>
Upstream	<u>Density</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>2</u>
Station 2	<u>Diversity</u>	<u>2.5</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0</u>
Downstream	<u>Density</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>0</u>

ORIGINAL
(Red)

FIELD DATA

101658

SENSITIVE GROUP

STATIONS

Diney River 8-23-84		1	2			ORIGINAL (Red)	
Stoneflies	Capniidae						
	Chloroperlidae						
	Leutridae						
	Nemouridae						
	Peltoperlidae		X				
	Perlidae	F					
	Perlodidae						
	Pteronarcidae						
	Taeniopterygidae						
Beetles	Dryopidae	F					
	Elmidae	C					
	Psephenidae						
Mayflies	Baetiscidae						
	Caenidae						
	Ephemerellidae						
	Ephemeridae						
	Heptageniidae	C	F				
	Leptoblepiidae	X					
	Oligoneuridae	C	C				
	Siphonuridae						
	Tricorythidae						
Caddisflies	Brachycentridae						
	Calamoceratidae						
	Glossosomatidae						
	Helicopsychidae						
	Hydroptilidae	F					
	Lepidostomatidae						
	Leptoceridae						
	Limnephilidae	F					
	Odontoceridae						
	Philopotamidae						
	Phryganeidae						
	Polycentropodidae						
	Psychomyiidae						
	Rhyacophilidae						
Sponge	Spongillidae						
Operculate snail	Pleuroceridae						
	Viviparidae						
Oligochaetes	Branchiobdellidae						
Mussels	Unionidae						
Crayfish	Astacidae	C					
Watermite	Diplodontidae						
	Hydrachnidae	X					
	Libertiidae						
	Sperchonidae						
Diptera	Blephariceridae						
RATING: DIVERSITY DENSITY		9 26	25 7				

101659

FACULTATIVE GROUP

STATIONS

ORIGINAL
(Red)

Piney River

8-23-84

Freshwater shrimp

Palaemonidae

Scuds

Gammaridae

Caddisfly

Hydropsychidae

Mayfly

Baetidae

Limpet

Ancylidae

Megaloptera

Corydalidae

Sialidae

Dragonflies

Aeshnidae

Zygoptera

Cordulegastriidae

Corduliidae

Gomphidae

Libellulidae

Macromiidae

Damselflies

Calopterygidae

Coenagrionidae

Lestidae

Protoneuridae

Aquatic Sowbugs

Asellidae

Diptera

Chironomidae

Simuliidae

Tipulidae

Beetles

Chrysomelidae

Curculionidae

Ditiseidae

Gyrinidae

Halophilidae

Helodidae

Hydrophilidae

Noteridae

Ptilodactylidae

Hemiptera

Belostomatidae

Corixidae

Gelastocoridae

Gerridae

Hebridae

Hydrometridae

Mesoveliidae

Naucoridae

Nepidae

Notonectidae

Veliidae

RATING:

DIVERSITY
DENSITY

10.5

29

5

14

101660

TOLERANT GROUP

STATIONS

Piney River

8-23-84

1

2

Diptera

Athericidae

Canacidae

Ceratopogonidae

Chaoboridae

Chironomidae

(bloodworm midge)

Dixidae

Dolichopodidae

Empididae

Ephydriidae

Muscidae

Psychodidae

Sciomyzidae

Stratiomyidae

Syrphidae

Tabanidae

Tanyderidae

Oligochaetes

Enchytraeidae

Lumbriculidae

Naididae

Tubificidae

Non-operculate snails

Lymnaeidae

Physidae

Planorbidae

Flatworms

Dendrocoelidae

Planariidae

Clams

Corbiculidae

Sphaeriidae

RATING:

DIVERSITY

DENSITY

 $\frac{1}{2}$

%

BIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT

ORIGINAL
(Red)

in James (02) Lake or Stream Piney River
 Sub-Basin Upper James USGS Quad Piney River, VA
 Station No. Lat. 37°42'07" Long. 79°01'40" Sample Control No.
 Date 8/23/84 Time 1100 County Nelson State VA
 Collector R. W. Bolgiano, L. M. Carpenter, Nearest Town
R. E. Miller
 Location riffle approximately 100 m upstream of Rt. 151 bridge

SAMPLER: Surber Ekman 6x6 Ponar Peterson D Frame Net X
 Artificial Substrate Qualitative X Areal Other
 STREAM: DO 9.0 pH 6.7 Temp 18.0 Cl² 0.0 Other weather 1-2
 Average Depth 0.3m Average Width 12 m Color clear Odor none Flow 3
 Bottom Materials boulders, cobbles, bedrock, gravel, sand Bottom Type riffle/run
 PLANT AND FISH LIFE: Macrophytes water willow, emergent grass, tree roots
 Periphyton diatom slight, green algae moderate
 Fish shiners, dace, darters
 Pollution Sources quarries
 Purpose of Station control U. S. Titanium

BIOASSAY RESULTS: (D - Dominant A - Abundant C - Common F - Few X - Present)				
<u>Stonefly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Hallgrammite</u>	<u></u>	<u>Diptera</u>
<u>Water penny</u>	<u></u>	<u>Dragonfly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Oligochaetes</u>
<u>Riffle beetle</u>	<u></u>	<u>Damselfly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Non-operculate snail</u>
<u>Limpet</u>	<u></u>	<u>Aquatic scowbug</u>	<u></u>	<u>Leeches</u>
<u>Mayfly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Cranefly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Flatworms</u>
<u>Caddisfly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Water Boatman</u>	<u></u>	<u>Fingernail clams</u>
<u>Fr. water sponge</u>	<u></u>	<u>Whirligig beetle</u>	<u></u>	<u>Bloodworm midges</u>
<u>Operculate snail</u>	<u></u>	<u>Other water beetle</u>	<u></u>	<u>Asiatic clam</u>
<u>Scuds</u>	<u></u>	<u>Alderfly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Rat-tailed maggots</u>
<u>Muscle</u>	<u></u>	<u>Blackfly</u>	<u></u>	<u>Other</u>
<u>Grayfish</u>	<u></u>	<u>Midges</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
<u>Water mite</u>	<u></u>	<u>Water Strider</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>

REMARKS AND EVALUATION:

DENSITY	DIVERSITY	INDICATOR ORGANISMS	OVERALL RATING	COMPARED WITH LAST YEAR
<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	Tolerant <u>NA</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Better
<input type="checkbox"/> Fair NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Fair NA	Facultative <u>NA</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fair NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Same NA
<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	Sensitive <u>NA</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Worse

COMPARED WITH
UPSTREAM

☐ Better
☐ Same NA
☐ Worse

COMPARED WITH
DOWNSTREAM

☐ Better
☐ Same NA
☐ Worse

OTHER REMARKS:

Flow falling from recent high water.

101662

BIOLOGICAL MONITORING REPORT

Name James (02) Lake or Stream Piney River
 Sub-Basin Upper James USGS Quad Piney River, VA
 Station No. _____ Lat. ____ Long: ____ Sample Control No. _____
 Date 8/23/84 Time 1300 County Nelson State VA
 Collector R. W. Bolgiano, L. M. Carpenter, Nearest Town Piney River
 Location R. E. Miller
~100 m below power wires (downstream of former biological monitoring station)

SAMPLER: Surber _____ Ekman _____ 6x6 Ponar _____ Peterson _____ D Frame Net X
Artificial Substrate _____ Qualitative X Areal _____ Other _____
STREAM: DO 9.5 pH 6.8 Temp. 20.0 Cl² 0.0 Other _____ weather 1-2-3
Average Depth 0.30 Average Width 15 m Color clear Odor none Flow 3
Bottom Materials boulder, cobble, gravel, sand Bottom Type riffle/run

PLANT AND FISH LIFE: Macrophytes emergent grasses, sedges

Periphyton diatom moderate/ filamentous green slight/ *

Fish shiner, dace, darter, bluegill

Pollution Sources U. S. Titanium

Purpose of Station	monitor effects of U. S. Titanium
--------------------	-----------------------------------

*ferric colored slime and gypsum sediment

CURSORY RESULTS: (D - Dominant A - Abundant C - Common F - Few X - Present)

Heffly	Helgrammite	Diptera
Water penny	Dragonfly	Oligochaetes
Riffle beetle	Damselfly	Non-operculate snail
Limpet	Aquatic sowbug	Leeches
Mayfly	Crane fly	Flatworms
Caddisfly	Water Boatman	Fingernail clams
Fr. water sponge	Whirligig beetle	Bloodworm midges
Operculate snail	Other water beetle	Asiatic clam
Scuds	Alderfly	Rat-tailed maggots
Mussel	Blackfly	Other
Crayfish	Midges	
Water mite	Water Strider	

REMARKS AND EVALUATION:

DENSITY

Good
Fair
Poor

DIVERSITY

Good
Fair
Poor

INDICATOR ORGANISMS

Tolerant _____
Facultative _____
Sensitive _____

**OVERALL
RATING**

	Good	Fair	Poor
1. The company's financial condition			
2. The company's management			
3. The company's products			
4. The company's services			
5. The company's reputation			
6. The company's future prospects			
7. The company's stock price			
8. The company's dividend policy			
9. The company's employee relations			
10. The company's environmental record			
11. The company's social responsibility			
12. The company's overall performance			

**COMPARED WITH
LAST YEAR**

☐ Better
☐ Same
☐ Worse

COMPARED WITH
STREAM

☐ Better
☐ Same
☐ Worse

COMPARED WITH DOWNSTREAM

☐ Better
☐ Same
☐ Worse

OTHER REMARKS:

Ferric colored slime and gypsum sediment.

101663